THE NEEDLE'S EYE India is walking into a middle-income trap with its eyes wide open

Arrested Development?



he good news is that everybody - the IMF was the late will be a modest 7% in 2019-20. The post-1991 period. It found that no 0.3 percentage point reduction in its other countries had done so." forecast is because of "a weakerthan-expected outlook for domestic demand", the IMF said.

tion on the Bombay Stock Exchange nance minister Nirmala Sitharaman presented the Budget on July 5. Foreign portfolio investors, upset by her 'super-rich' income-tax surcharge, have pulled out over \$1 billion so far this month.

nomic Adviser Arvind Subramanian put out his second paper (bit.do/ e2Pb9), questioning India's growth estimates for the period 2012-13 to 2016-17 (which covers the final two years of the UPA government and the first scale economy. three years of the NDA one) after the ar for GDP calculations to 2011-12.

In his paper, Subramanian says he

indicators, as well as a puzzling divergence between the weak index of industrial production (IIP) and manufacturing sector growth. "As we continued to investigate the matter, the puzzle only deepened. In 2015-16 and 2016-17, India recorded an exceptionally high GDP growth of 7.5% together with weak growth in key indicators, such as credit, exports, and investment. Accordingly, in July 2017, Volume 2 of the Economic Survey devoted a section (Appendix 2) test to fall in line on Tues- that asked whether any other countday — agrees with the RBI ries had achieved such high growth that India's GDP growth ra- with such weak indicators in the

Who Let the Growth Out?

The bad news is that no body I have at an average 7.5%, on the back of spoken with is happy about the eco-strong investment growth (13%) and nomy, There is no euphoric surge in export growth (15%). But between sentiment. The market capitalisa- 2011 and 2016, India's economy was advanced countries. Subramanian hit by a series of shocks. Export gro- had followed 'simplistic economethas fallen by over ₹7 trillion since fi-wth collapsed to an average 3%. The ric techniques', "The author, in the Twin Balance Sheet crisis struck capacity of Chief Economic Adviser both stressed companies that had recklessly borrowed for albatross indeed over the army of government non-collateralised loans to ₹20 lakh. frastructure projects and banks that economists and statisticians, and is were piling up non-performing lo- aware of the enormous magnitude ans. Credit to industry grew at a palt- and complexity of the exercise to Two weeks ago, former Chief Eco-ry 1%. The final two UPA years were compute GDP of the continent-size mangled by corruption and policy paralysis. Droughts hit agricultural output and consumption in 2014 and 2015. And in 2016, demonetisation pulverised the informal and small-

Despite these shocks, the revised January 2015 revision of the base yedata said GDP slipped only slightly from 7.7% to 6.9%

Subramanian's first paper (bit. and his team found inconsistencies do/e2Pga), published in June, was fibetween GDP data and other macro- ercely attacked by critics. The Eco-

DANGER

Already endangered?

During the 2002-11 period, India grew nomic Advisory Council to the Prime Minister published a scathing rebuttal saying India's GDP methodology was robust and in line with in the Ministry of Finance, has presimended doubling the limit of highly diverse emerging economy of India," the EAC said.

Yet, just two weeks before the 2019 election results, one of the economists who wrote that critique, National Institute of Public Finance and Policy director Rathin Roy in an NDTV ture of India slipping into a middleple in poverty [and] rising crime...

end of August, it will publish GDP ment." We have been warned.

data for the April-June quarter, after the previous quarter's dismal 5.8%. And the National Sample Survey Office (NSSO) is about to publish its 75th survey results on consumption expenditure across India, a crucial measure of poverty in the country.

This is not to say that pro-poor policies have not paid dividends: the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) said earlier this month that India lifted 271 million people out of poverty in the decade from 2005. Still, some policymakers are jumpy when sideswiped by the slowdown

For instance, RBI governor Shaktikanta Das has told public sector banks (PSBs) he is unhappy they are not passing on the central bank's interest rate cuts to businesses and consumers. As the crisis in non-banking financial companies (NBFCs) deepens, with DHFL about to go belly-up, Das is also irritated at the rising defaults by small borrowers under the MUDRA scheme—this at a time when a committee has recom-

Democratic Distribution

Does all this really matter? There is little doubt that this government intends to use its unprecedented mandate to push economic policies that will combine strident welfarism with what can only be described as tough love for groaning businesses and heaving markets.

Sitharaman told the Raiva Sabha interview, painted an alarming pic- on Tuesday that the Modi government aims to bring in "such changes income trap, like Brazil or South for a New India wherein there is a Africa, "with large numbers of peogreater transparency... and making sure that the redistribution of collec-It's not panic stations yet; we have fi-tive resources happens with an equive to 10 years... but there is urgency." ty principle in mind. So if you were Subramanian's questions, come at to raise more taxes, more collection an awkward point for the Modi go- is only going to result in more redistvernment: it is about to revise the ribution of funds, thereby bringing GDP base year again to 2017-18. At the in a far more equitable develop-

Arvind Subramanian's questions come at an awkward point for the Narendra Modi government: it is about to revise the GDP base year again to 2017-18